

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**Loramax LLC, Plaintiff,**

v.

**Columbia Management Investment  
Advisers, LLC, Defendant.**

**Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

This is an action for patent infringement in which Loramax LLC (“Loramax” or “Plaintiff”) makes the following allegations against Columbia Management Investment Advisers, LLC (“Columbia Management” or “Defendant”):

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Loramax is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Texas and has its principal place of business at 2305 North St., Ste. 205, Beaumont, Texas, 77702.

2. Defendant Columbia Management is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal place of business located at 225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110. Defendant may be served via its registered agent for service of process: C T Corporation System Inc., 100 S Fifth Street, Suite 1075, Minneapolis, MN 55402.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331

and 1338(a).

4. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this district, and has performed at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein in this district.

**COUNT I**  
**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,513,126**

6. Plaintiff Loramax is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 5,513,126 ("the '126 Patent") titled "Network Having Selectively Accessible Recipient Prioritized Communication Channel Profiles." The '126 Patent was duly issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on April 30, 1996. A true and correct copy of the '126 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, operates, and makes available to the public, directly or through intermediaries, a website and related systems that are, and were during the term of the '126 Patent, accessible to the public via the URL at <https://www.columbiathreadneedleus.com/web/columbia/landing/account-access/login> (formerly accessible at <https://performance.columbiamanagement.com/web/columbia/landing/account-access/login>) ("the Accused Instrumentality").

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant has infringed the '126 Patent during its

term in the State of Texas, in this district, and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, directly or through intermediaries, making, using, operating, and making available to the public the Accused Instrumentality that performed the methods and/or used the systems covered by at least claims 6 and/or 25 of the '126 Patent to the injury of Plaintiff. Defendant has directly infringed, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '126 Patent during the term of the '126 Patent. Defendant is thus liable for infringement of the '126 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

9. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '126 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Loramax respectfully requests that this Court enter:

10. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '126 Patent;

11. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '126 Patents as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

12. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Loramax, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED May 8, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Jaspal S. Hare*  
By: \_\_\_\_\_

Jaspal S. Hare (lead counsel)  
Texas Bar No. 24083135  
[Jaspal.Hare@solidcounsel.com](mailto:Jaspal.Hare@solidcounsel.com)

Bryan R. Haynes  
Texas Bar No. 9283520  
[Bryan.Haynes@solidcounsel.com](mailto:Bryan.Haynes@solidcounsel.com)

**Scheef & Stone L.L.P**  
500 North Akard, Suite 500  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Tel: (214) 706-4200  
Fax: (214) 706-4242

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**  
**LORAMAX LLC**